

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/299,522 04/26/1999 J. TURNER WHITTED 1026-068/MMM 3369 21034 06/03/2003 **IPSOLON LLP EXAMINER** 805 SW BROADWAY, #2740 NGUYEN, DUNG T PORTLAND, OR 97205 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2871

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/299,522** 

Applicant(s)

Whitted et al.

Examiner

Dung Nguyen

Art Unit **2871** 



•~*	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sh	reet with	the correspondence address	
Period	for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				MONTH(S) FROM	
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
- If the - If NO - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the platent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) the application to beco	) MONTHS ome ABAND	from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 18, 2	2003		<u> </u>	
2a) 💢	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This act	tion is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>28-49</u>			is/are pending in the application.	
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>28-49</u>			is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)				
8) 🗆	Claims				
Applica	ation Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	drawing(s) be he	eld in abe	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	: a) 🗌	approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office ac	tion.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been receive	∌d.		
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been receive	ed in Ap	plication No	
*0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 1	17.2(a)).		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th				
14)∟.	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
a) L	and the same of th				
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under	35 U.S.	.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).					
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			nt Application (PTO-152)	
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
_		· Named			

Application/Control Number: 09/299,522

Art Unit: 2871

### Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment dated 03/18/2003 has been received and entered.

Applicant's arguments filed 03/18/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive as follows:

### **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 28-49 stand provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of
obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 30 of copending Application
No. 09/299,521, as stated in the previous office action.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Applicant contends that claims of the present application and copending application are patentably distinct from each other (amendment, page 9). The Examiner is not convinced by this

Application/Control Number: 09/299,522

Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

argument since the same is true of the copending application display device. It should be noted that claim 30 in the co-pending application No. 09/299,521 is broader in scope than those in the present application and thus can be read by the latter. Furthermore, method claims in the present application would be inherent to product claims in the co-pending application.

Accordingly, the double patenting rejection stand.

#### Remarks

Claims 15 and 17 would be canceled because of its dependency on claim 14.

#### Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Application/Control Number: 09/299,522

Page 4

Art Unit: 2871

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final

action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0423. The

fax phone number for this Group is (703) 746-7730.

Any information of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DN06/02/2003